## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

## LIONBRIDGE TECHNOLOGIES

and

Cases 19-CA-189868 19-CA-189895

## **TEMPORARY WORKERS OF AMERICA**

## ORDER<sup>1</sup>

The petition to revoke subpoena duces tecum B-1-WESF89, filed by Lionbridge Technologies, is denied.<sup>2</sup> The subpoena seeks information relevant to the matter under investigation and describes with sufficient particularity the evidence sought, as required by Section 11(1) of the Act and Section 102.31(b) of the Board's Rules and Regulations.<sup>3</sup> Further, the Petitioner has failed to establish any other legal basis for revoking the subpoena. See generally *NLRB v. North Bay Plumbing, Inc.*, 102 F.3d 1005 (9th Cir. 1996); *NLRB v. Carolina Food Processors, Inc.*, 81 F.3d 507 (4th Cir. 1996).

Dated, Washington, D.C., April 13, 2018

MARVIN E. KAPLAN, CHAIRMAN

MARK GASTON PEARCE MEMBER

LAUREN McFERRAN, MEMBER

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The National Labor Relations Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In denying the petition, we consider the subpoena as clarified by the Region's opposition, which states that pars. 5-16 only seek documents to or from employees working on Microsoft Corporation's App & Catalogue Operations (ACO) project or related to the ACO project.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> With respect to the Petitioner's alternative request to amend the existing protective order between the General Counsel and the Petitioner, we find that the Petitioner has failed to establish that the procedure set forth in that protective order is not sufficient to address its concerns. However, if its concerns can be substantiated, the Petitioner may seek an additional confidentiality agreement from the Region.